

THE ASYMPTOTIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF THE LARGEST ENTRIES OF SAMPLE CORRELATION MATRICES

BY TIEFENG JIANG

University of Minnesota

Let $X_n = (x_{ij})$ be an n by p data matrix, where the n rows form a random sample of size n from a certain p -dimensional population distribution. Let $R_n = (\rho_{ij})$ be the $p \times p$ sample correlation matrix of X_n ; that is, the entry ρ_{ij} is the usual Pearson's correlation coefficient between the i th column of X_n and j th column of X_n . For contemporary data both n and p are large. When the population is a multivariate normal we study the test that H_0 : the p variates of the population are uncorrelated. A test statistic is chosen as $L_n = \max_{i \neq j} |\rho_{ij}|$. The asymptotic distribution of L_n is derived by using the Chen–Stein Poisson approximation method. Similar results for the non-Gaussian case are also derived.

1. Introduction. Let $X_n = (x_{ij})$ be an n by p data matrix, where the n rows are observations from a certain multivariate distribution and each of p columns is an n observation from a variable of the population distribution. Let ρ_{ij} be the Pearson correlation coefficient between the i th and j th columns of X_n . That is

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_{ij} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{k,j} - \bar{x}_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_i)^2} \cdot \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_{k,j} - \bar{x}_j)^2}},$$

where $\bar{x}_i = (1/n) \sum_{k=1}^n x_{k,i}$. Then $R_n := (\rho_{ij})$ is a p by p symmetric matrix. It is called the sample correlation matrix generated by X_n .

Suppose the population is a multivariate normal distribution with mean vector μ , covariance matrix Σ and correlation coefficient matrix \mathbf{R} . When the sample size n and the dimension p are large and comparable, Johnstone [14] studied the test with null hypothesis $H_0: \Sigma = \mathbf{I}$ under assumption that $\mu = \mathbf{0}$, where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix. The null hypothesis is equivalent

Received November 2002; revised April 2003.

AMS 2000 subject classifications. 60F05, 60F15, 62H10.

Key words and phrases. Sample correlation matrices, maxima, Chen–Stein method, moderate deviations.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 2004, Vol. 14, No. 2, 865–880. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

to that the population distribution is the product of p univariate standard normal distributions. The test statistic is chosen as the maximum eigenvalue of the sample covariance matrix $X_n' X_n$ according to the method principal component analysis (PCA). It is proved that the asymptotic distribution of the maximum eigenvalue is the Tracy–Widom law.

When both n and p are large we consider the test with null hypothesis

$$(1.2) \quad H_0: \mathbf{R} = I.$$

Equivalently, the population distribution is a product of univariate normal distribution $N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$'s for some unknown μ_i 's and unknown σ_i 's. The difference between this test and the one in [14] mentioned above is that all μ_i 's do not have to be identical and all σ_i 's do not have to be identical, either. Besides, we do not assume that μ_i 's and σ_i 's are known. Our test seems to be more natural and practical. The maximum eigenvalue λ_{\max} of the sample correlation matrix R_n can be taken as the test statistic according to PCA. But the distribution of λ_{\max} is not clear so far, although there is evidence that λ_{\max} may also follow the Tracy–Widom law asymptotically as shown in [13].

In this paper we do not pursue the maximum eigenvalue λ_{\max} as the test statistic because of its complexity. Instead we choose the following intuitive one:

$$L_n = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |\rho_{ij}|,$$

where ρ_{ij} is as in (1.1). Barbour and Eagleson [6] provided a general idea of dealing with the tail of L_n by using the Poisson approximation method. In this paper we will derive the strong law and limiting distribution of L_n via this method. In fact, we will prove more general results; the observations x_{ij} 's do not have to be Gaussian. Our results will be precisely stated next.

Suppose $\{\xi, x_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are i.i.d. random variables. Let $X_n = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p}$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p be the p columns of X_n . Then $X_n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$. Let \bar{x}_k be the sample average of x_k , that is, $\bar{x}_k = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik}$. We write $x_i - \bar{x}_i$ for $x_i - \bar{x}_i e$, where $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, ρ_{ij} , the Pearson correlation coefficient in (1.1) between x_i and x_j can be rewritten as

$$(1.3) \quad \rho_{ij} = \frac{(x_i - \bar{x}_i)^T (x_j - \bar{x}_j)}{\|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \cdot \|x_j - \bar{x}_j\|}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual Euclidean norm. Obviously, $\rho_{ii} = 1$ for each i .

First, we obtain a strong limit theorem as follows.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $E|\xi|^{30-\varepsilon} < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If $n/p \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$, then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}} L_n = 2 \quad a.s.$$

The above strong law of L_n does not depend on p although X_n is an n by p matrix. For the limiting distribution the following holds.

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that $E|\xi|^{30+\varepsilon} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $n/p \rightarrow \gamma$, then*

$$P(nL_n^2 - 4\log n + \log(\log n) \leq y) \rightarrow e^{-Ke^{-y/2}}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $K = (\gamma^2 \sqrt{8\pi})^{-1}$.

The limiting distribution appearing in Theorem 1.2 is called the extreme distribution of type I.

For constants $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}^1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, it is easy to see that the matrix $(a_1x_1 + b_1e, a_2x_2 + b_2e, \dots, a_px_p + b_pe)$ and $X_n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p)$ generate the same correlation matrix R_n . Also, if $\xi \sim N(0, 1)$, then $Ee^{t\xi^2} < \infty$ for all $t < 1/2$. We immediately have the following result.

COROLLARY 1.1. *Suppose $\{x_{ij}; i \geq 1, j \geq 1\}$ are independent and $x_{ij} \sim N(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$ for some μ_j and $\sigma_j \neq 0$ for all i and j . Let the sample correlation matrix R_n be obtained from $X_n := (x_{ij}; 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq p)$. Then the conclusions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 also hold.*

The above corollary gives the distribution of the test statistic L_n under the null hypothesis in (1.2).

Theorem 1.3 below is used in the proof of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. These two lemmas are key to prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. It is a nonasymptotic inequality on the moderate deviation of partial sums of independent random variables. Though sums of independent random variables are well understood, we did not notice a similar result in the literature, for example, [19] and [20]. The usual moderate results such as those in [15] and Theorem 3.7.1 on page 109 from [8] are not applicable in our case. The reason is that we do not have identical distribution assumption. Second, asymptotic bounds do not work in our proof because our case involves an uniform bound of infinitely many such probabilities. This is evident from Lemma 2.1 in Section 3. There is a similar situation in the large deviation case. The Chernoff bound (see, e.g., (c) of Remarks on page 27 from [8]) is a nonasymptotic bound of sums of i.i.d. random variables. But the classical Cramér-type large deviation is a limiting result. The Chernoff bound is used in the proof of theorems in [10] and [11] for the same reason of proving our Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 via the following Theorem 1.3.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $\{\eta_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be independent random variables with mean zero. Assume $\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E|\eta_i|^\beta < \infty$ for some $\beta > 2$. Then for any $\rho > 0$*

and $t > 0$,

$$(1.4) \quad P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \geq t\right) \leq \frac{M_\beta}{n^{\rho\beta-1}} + K_n e^{-t_\rho^2/(2M_2)},$$

where

$$M_s := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|\eta_i|^s \text{ for } s \in (0, \beta] \quad \text{and} \quad K_n := \exp\left\{\frac{t^3 n^{\rho-(1/2)}}{3M_2^2} e^{2tn^{\rho-(1/2)}/M_2}\right\}$$

and $t_\rho = t - M_\beta n^{\rho(1-\beta)+(1/2)}$.

In our applications, $K_n \sim 1$, $t_\rho \sim t$ and $M_2 = 1$. Also, $M_\beta/n^{\rho\beta-1}$ in (1.4) is smaller than the term next to it. So the probability is roughly bounded by $e^{-t^2/2}$.

The main tool used in proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are the Chen–Stein Poisson approximation method and probabilities of moderate deviations by Amosova [1] and Rubin and Sethuraman [21]. They are listed in the Appendix.

In traditional random matrix theories, eigenvalues are the primary concern. See, for example, [18] and [5]. This paper together with [12], in which the maxima of entries of certain Haar-distributed matrices were studied for an imaging analysis problem, suggests that the study of entries of matrices are also important.

Now we state the outline of this paper. A couple of lemmas are given in Section 2 for the preparation of the proofs of main results. We prove all main results in Section 3. In the last section some known results used in the proofs of our theorems are listed.

2. Auxiliary lemmas. Three lemmas are needed before we go to the proof of main results. The proof of the following relies on Theorem 1.3, which will be proved at the end. There is no circular reasoning.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\{\xi_k, \eta_k, \eta'_k, k = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Let $\{u_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $u_n/\sqrt{n \log n} \rightarrow a \in (0, \infty)$. If $E|\xi_1|^q < \infty$ for some $q > (a^2 + 1)(a^2 + 2)$, then*

$$(2.1) \quad P\left(\left|\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \eta_k\right| \geq u_n, \left|\sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \eta'_k\right| \geq u_n\right) = O(n^{b-a^2})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $b > 0$.

PROOF. The two events in (2.1) are conditionally independent given ξ_k 's. Denote by P^1 and E^1 such conditional probability and expectation, respectively. Then the probability in (2.1) is

$$(2.2) \quad E \left[P^1 \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \eta_k \right| \geq u_n \right)^2 \right].$$

Set

$$A_n(s) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n (|\xi_k|^s - E|\xi_k|^s) \right| \leq \delta \right\}$$

for $s \geq 2$ and $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. Choose $\beta \in (a^2 + 2, q/(a^2 + 1))$ and $r = a^2 + 1$. Let $\zeta_k = |\xi_k|^\beta - E|\xi_k|^\beta$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $E|\zeta_1|^r < \infty$. By the Chebyshev inequality and Lemma A.1,

$$(2.3) \quad P(A_n(\beta)^c) = P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k \right| > n\delta \right) \leq (n\delta)^{-r} E \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k \right|^r = O(n^{-f(r)})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $f(r) = r/2$ if $r \geq 2$, and $f(r) = r - 1$ if $1 < r \leq 2$. Let $\{\zeta'_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ be an independent copy of $\{\zeta_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\}$. Then since, from (2.3), $P(|\sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k| \leq n\delta/2) \geq 1/2$ for sufficiently large n , it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k \right| > n\delta \right) \leq 2P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > n\delta/2 \right) = O(n^{-f(r)})$$

by repeating (2.3). Given an integer $j \geq 1$, let $v = n\delta/4j$. Then by Lemma A.2, there are positive constants C_j and D_j such that

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > n\delta/2 \right) \\ &= P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > 2jv \right) \\ &\leq C_j P \left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\zeta_k - \zeta'_k| > v \right) + D_j P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > v \right)^j. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E|\zeta_1|^r < \infty$, $P(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\zeta_k - \zeta'_k| > v) \leq nP(|\zeta_1 - \zeta'_1| > v) = O(n^{1-r})$. By the same argument as the equality in (2.4), we obtain

$$\left(P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > v \right) \right)^j = O(n^{-jf(r)}).$$

Take $j = [(r-1)/f(r)] + 1$. It follows that

$$(2.5) \quad P \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n (\zeta_k - \zeta'_k) \right| > n\delta/2 \right) = O(n^{1-r})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Combining (2.3) and (2.4) with (2.5), we obtain that

$$P(A_n(\beta)^c) = O(n^{1-r})$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By the same arguments the above still holds if β is replaced by 2. Consequently,

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & E \left[P^1 \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \eta_k \right| \geq u_n \right)^2 \right] \\ & \leq E \left[P^1 \left(\left| \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k \eta_k \right| \geq u_n \right)^2 I_{A_n(2) \cap A_n(\beta)} \right] + O(n^{1-r}). \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Theorem 1.3 to the last probability in (2.6). Note $E^1(\xi_k \eta_k) = 0$ and $E^1|\xi_k \eta_k|^s = |\xi_k|^s (E|\xi_1|^s)$ for any $s > 0$. In particular, $E^1(\xi_k \eta_k)^2 = \xi_k^2$. Thus

$$M_s = \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^s \right) \cdot E|\xi_1|^s.$$

So $1 - \delta < M_2 \leq 1 + \delta$ on $A_n(2)$. Since $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$, $1/2 \leq M_2 \leq 2$ on $A_n(2)$. Moreover, $M_\beta \leq (1 + E|\xi_1|^\beta)E|\xi_1|^\beta < \infty$ on $A_n(\beta)$. Choose $t = u_n/\sqrt{n}$ and $\rho \in ((a^2 + 2)/(2\beta), 1/2)$. It is easy to verify that $d := -\rho(1 - \beta) - (1/2) > 0$,

$$|t - t_\rho| \leq \frac{(1 + E|\xi_1|^\beta)E|\xi_1|^\beta}{n^d} \quad \text{and} \quad K_n \leq \exp(2t^3 n^{\rho-1/2} e^{4tn^{\rho-1/2}})$$

on $A_n(2) \cap A_n(\beta)$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$, such that the probability in (2.6) under the restriction $A_n(2) \cap A_n(\beta)$ is less than

$$C(n^{-a^2/2} + n^{-a^2/(2(1+\delta))}) = (n^{-a^2/(2+2\delta)})$$

for n sufficiently large, where the fact $\rho\beta > 1 + (a^2/2)$ is used. Note that $O(n^{1-r}) = O(n^{-a^2})$ since $r = 1 + a^2$. So the left-hand side of (2.6), hence, the probability in (2.1) is $O(n^{-a^2/(1+\delta)})$ by (2.2). The desired conclusion then follows by choosing δ small enough. \square

For any square matrix $A = (a_{i,j})$, define $\|A\| = \max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} |a_{i,j}|$; that is, the maximum of the absolute values of the off-diagonal entries of A .

LEMMA 2.2. Recall x_i in (1.3). Let $h_i = \|x_i - \bar{x}_i\|/\sqrt{n}$ for each i . Then

$$\|nR_n - X_n^T X_n\| \leq (b_1^2 + 2b_1)W_n b_3^{-2} + n b_3^{-2} b_4^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |h_i - 1|, & W_n &= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |x_i^T x_j|, \\ b_3 &= \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i, & b_4 &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{x}_i|. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. As in (1.3), the (i, j) -entry of R_n is

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{(x_i - \bar{x}_i)^T (x_j - \bar{x}_j)}{\|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \cdot \|x_j - \bar{x}_j\|} = \frac{x_i^T x_j - n \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j}{n h_i h_j}.$$

The (i, j) -entry of $X_n^T X_n$ is $x_i^T x_j$. So

$$|n \rho_{ij} - x_i^T x_j| \leq |(h_i h_j)^{-1} - 1| \cdot |x_i^T x_j| + n \frac{|\bar{x}_i|}{h_i} \cdot \frac{|\bar{x}_j|}{h_j}.$$

Taking maximum for both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|n R_n - X_n^T X_n\| \\ & \leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |(h_i h_j)^{-1} - 1| \cdot \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |x_i^T x_j| + n \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{|\bar{x}_i|}{h_i} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Write $1 - (h_i h_j)^{-1} = (h_i h_j)^{-1}((h_i - 1)(h_j - 1) + (h_i - 1) + (h_j - 1))$. Then the desired inequality follows. \square

Next we estimate b_i 's.

LEMMA 2.3. *Suppose that $\{\xi, x_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with $E\xi = 0$ and $\text{Var}(\xi) = 1$. Suppose also $n/p \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$. If $E|\xi|^{4/(1-\alpha)} < \infty$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, then*

$$n^\alpha b_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad b_3 \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s.} \quad \text{and} \quad n^\alpha b_4 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. The second limit follows from the first one. Easily, $\|x_i - \bar{x}_i\|^2 = x_i^T x_i - n |\bar{x}_i|^2$. Using the fact that $|x - 1| \leq |x^2 - 1|$ for any $x > 0$, we have that

$$(2.7) \quad n^\alpha b_1 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left| \frac{x_i^T x_i - n}{n^{1-\alpha}} \right| + \left(n^{\alpha/2} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\bar{x}_i| \right)^2.$$

Note $x_i^T x_i = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}^2$. By Lemma A.5 the first and the second maxima above go to zero when $E|\xi|^{4/(1-\alpha)} < \infty$. So the first limit is proved. Under the condition that $E|\xi|^{2/(1-\alpha)} < \infty$, the limit that $n^\alpha b_4 \rightarrow 0$ a.s. is proved by noting the relationship between $n^\alpha b_4$ and the right most term in (2.7). \square

The analysis of W_n is given in the next section.

3. Proof of main results. Recall the definition of ρ_{ij} in (1.1) and (1.3). To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we assume throughout this section, without loss of generality, that

$$\{\xi, x_{ij}; i, j = 1, 2, \dots\} \text{ are i.i.d. with } E\xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Var}(\xi) = 1.$$

The proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 rely on an analysis of the covariance matrix $X_n^T X_n$. The (i, j) -entry of $X_n^T X_n$ is $\sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} x_{kj}$. Recall

$$(3.1) \quad W_n = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} x_{kj} \right|$$

as in Lemma 2.2. The first step in proving our main theorems is approximating R_n by $X_n^T X_n$ as shown in Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. The second step is deriving the corresponding results for $X_n^T X_n$. We actually will prove the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that $E|\xi|^{30-\varepsilon} < \infty$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. If $n/p \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$, then:*

$$(i) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \leq 2 \quad a.s.$$

$$(ii) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_n}{\sqrt{n \log n}} \geq 2 \quad a.s.$$

Lemma 3.1 actually says that $W_n/\sqrt{n \log n} \rightarrow 2$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The reason we did not combine (i) and (ii) as a single limit is that the proof of the combined one is relatively long. We will prove the two parts separately.

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that $E|\xi|^{30+\varepsilon} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. If $n/p \rightarrow \gamma \in (0, \infty)$, then*

$$P\left(\frac{W_n^2 - \alpha_n}{n} \leq y\right) \rightarrow e^{-Ke^{-y/2}}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha_n = 4n \log n - n \log(\log n)$ and $K = (\gamma^2 \sqrt{8\pi})^{-1}$.

Assuming Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we next prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The proof of the former two lemmas are given later.

PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2. Choose $\alpha = 1/3$. Under the condition that $E|\xi|^6 < \infty$, we have from the triangle inequality, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 that

$$(3.2) \quad |nL_n - W_n| \leq \|nR_n - X_n^T X_n\| \leq 4n^{-1/3}W_n + 2n^{1/3} \quad a.s.$$

as n is sufficiently large. Applying Lemma 3.1, it follows that $4n^{-1/3}W_n = O(n^{1/6} \log n)$ almost surely. Hence $nL_n - W_n = O(n^{1/3})$ a.s. Theorem 1.1 then follows immediately from Lemma 3.1. Now Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 3.1 imply that $nL_n + W_n = O(\sqrt{n \log n})$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} nL_n^2 - \frac{W_n^2}{n} &= \frac{1}{n}(nL_n - W_n)(nL_n + W_n) \\ &= O(n^{-1/6}(\log n)^{1/2}) \quad \text{a.s.} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 then follows from Lemma 3.2. \square

Now we turn to prove Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1(i). Given $\delta \in (0, 1)$, let $w_n = (2 + \delta)\sqrt{n \log n}$. Define $y_{ij}^{(l)} := \sum_{k=1}^l x_{ki}x_{kj}$, $i, j, l \geq 1$. Then $y_{ij}^{(l)}$ is a sum of l i.i.d. random variables with mean zero and variance one. By Lemma A.3, under the condition that $E|\xi|^d < \infty$ for some $d > 2 + (2 + \delta)^2$,

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{1 \leq i \neq j < \infty} P(|y_{ij}^{(l)}| > w_l) = O\left(\frac{1}{l^{2+\delta}}\right)$$

as l is large, where we also use the fact that

$$(3.4) \quad 1 - \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-t^2/2} dt \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} x} e^{-x^2/2}$$

as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ (see, e.g., page 49 from [7]). Review the expression of W_n in (3.1). For any integer $m > 4/\delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.5) \quad \max_{n^m \leq l \leq (n+1)^m} W_l &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq (n+1)^m} \left(\max_{n^m \leq l \leq (n+1)^m} |y_{ij}^{(l)}| \right) \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq (n+1)^m} |y_{ij}^{(n^m)}| + r_n, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.6) \quad r_n = \max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq (n+1)^m} \max_{n^m \leq l \leq (n+1)^m} |y_{ij}^{(l)} - y_{ij}^{(n^m)}|.$$

By (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq (n+1)^m} |y_{ij}^{(n^m)}| > w_{n^m}\right) &\leq (n+1)^{2m} P(|y_{12}^{(n^m)}| > w_{n^m}) \\ &= O(n^{-\delta m}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_n n^{-\delta m} < \infty$, by the Borel–Cantelli lemma,

$$(3.7) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq (n+1)^m} |y_{ij}^{(n^m)}|}{\sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}} \leq 2 + \delta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Now let us estimate r_n as in (3.6).

Let $\{z_1, z_2, \dots\}$ be i.i.d. random variables with the same law as $x_{11}x_{12}$ with partial sums $S_0 = 0$ and $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k z_i$. Clearly, $Ez_1 = 0$ and $Ez_1^2 = 1$. Observe that the distribution of $y_{ij}^{(l)} - y_{ij}^{(n^m)}$ is equal to that of S_{l-n^m} for all $l \geq n^m$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & P(r_n \geq \delta \sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}) \\
 & \leq (n+1)^{2m} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq (n+1)^m - n^m} |S_k| \geq \delta \sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}\right) \\
 & \leq 2(n+1)^{2m} P(|S_{(n+1)^m - n^m}| \geq (\delta/2) \sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)})
 \end{aligned}$$

as n is sufficiently large, where Ottaviani's inequality (see Exercise 16 on page 74 in [7]) is used in the last inequality. Set $k_n = (n+1)^m - n^m$. Note that, for fixed m and δ , $(\delta/2) \sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)} \geq (2+\delta) \sqrt{k_n \log k_n}$ as n is sufficiently large. By (3.4) and Lemma A.3, the last probability in (3.8) is equal to $O(\exp(-(m-1)(2+\delta)^2(\log n)/2))$ provided $E|\xi|^d < \infty$ for some $d > 2 + (2+\delta)^2$. Therefore,

$$P(r_n \geq \delta \sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}) = O(n^{-u}),$$

where $u = (m-1)(2+\delta)^2/2 - 2m > 1$, since m is chosen such that $m > 4/\delta$. By the Borel–Cantelli lemma again,

$$(3.9) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_n}{\sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}} \leq \delta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

By (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9), we obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\max_{n^m \leq l \leq (n+1)^m} W_l}{\sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}} \leq 2 + 2\delta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any sufficiently small $\delta > 0$. This implies inequality (i) in Lemma 3.1. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1(ii). We continue to use the notations in the proof of (i) of Lemma 3.1. For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, define $v_n = (2-\delta)\sqrt{n \log n}$. We first claim that

$$(3.10) \quad P(W_n \leq v_n) = O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\delta'}}\right)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some positive constant δ' depending on δ and the distribution of ξ only. If this is true, take an integer m such that $m > 1/\delta'$. Then $P(W_{n^m} \leq v_{n^m}) = O(1/n^{\delta'm})$. Since $\sum_n n^{-\delta'm} < \infty$, by the Borel–Cantelli lemma, we have that

$$(3.11) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_{n^m}}{\sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}} \geq 2 - \delta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Recalling the definition of r_n in (3.6), we have that

$$\inf_{n^m \leq k \leq (n+1)^m} W_k \geq W_{n^m} - r_n.$$

By (3.9) and (3.11), we have that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\inf_{n^m \leq k \leq (n+1)^m} W_k}{\sqrt{n^m \log(n^m)}} \geq 2 - 2\delta \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for any δ small enough. This implies (ii) of Lemma 3.1.

Now we turn to prove claim (3.10) by Lemma A.4.

Take $I = \{(i, j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p\}$. For $\alpha = (i, j) \in I$, set $B_\alpha = \{(k, l) \in I; \text{one of } k \text{ and } l = i \text{ or } j, \text{ but } (k, l) \neq \alpha\}$, $\eta_\alpha = |y_{ij}^{(n)}|$, $t = v_n$ and $A_\alpha = A_{ij} = \{|y_{ij}^{(n)}| > v_n\}$. By Lemma A.4,

$$(3.12) \quad P(W_n \leq v_n) \leq e^{-\lambda_n} + b_{1,n} + b_{2,n}.$$

Evidently

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= \frac{p(p-1)}{2} P(A_{12}), \\ b_{1,n} &\leq 2p^3 P(A_{12})^2 \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2,n} \leq 2p^3 P(A_{12}A_{13}). \end{aligned}$$

Remember that $y_{12}^{(n)}$ is a sum of i.i.d. random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Recall (3.4). By Lemma A.3,

$$(3.14) \quad P(A_{12}) \sim \frac{1}{(2-\delta)\sqrt{2\pi \log n}} \cdot \frac{1}{n^{(2-\delta)^2/2}}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ provided $E|\eta|^6 < \infty$. Note that $P(A_{12}A_{13}) = P(|y_{12}^{(n)}| \geq v_n, |y_{13}^{(n)}| \geq v_n)$ and $v_n/\sqrt{n \log n} \rightarrow 2 - \delta$. By Lemma 2.1, $P(A_{12}A_{13}) = O(n^{b-(2-\delta)^2})$ for any $b > 0$ provided $E|\xi|^q < \infty$ for some $q > ((2-\delta)^2 + 1)((2-\delta)^2 + 2) < 30$. Choosing both b and δ small enough, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad e^{-\lambda_n} \leq e^{-n^\delta}, \quad b_{1,n} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{2,n} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

for sufficiently large n . Then (3.10) follows from (3.12) and (3.15). \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.2. We need to show that

$$(3.16) \quad P\left(\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} |y_{ij}| \leq \sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}\right) \rightarrow e^{-Ke^{-y/2}},$$

where $y_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}x_{kj}$. Now we apply Lemma A.4 to prove (3.16). Take $I = \{(i, j); 1 \leq i < j \leq p\}$. For $\alpha = (i, j) \in I$, set $X_\alpha = |y_{ij}|$ and $B_\alpha = \{(k, l) \in I; \text{one of } k \text{ and } l = i \text{ or } j\}$.

i or j , but $(k, l) \neq \alpha$. Choose $t = \sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}$. We first calculate $\lambda = \lambda_n$ in the theorem. Since $\{y_{ij}; (i, j) \in I\}$ are identically distributed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} P(|y_{ij}| > \sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}) \\ (3.17) \quad &= \frac{p^2 - p}{2} P\left(\frac{|y_{12}|}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_n}{n} + y}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that y_{12} is a sum of i.i.d. random variables with mean 0 and variance 1. Since $\sqrt{(\alpha_n/n) + y} \sim 2\sqrt{\log n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma A.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} &P\left(\frac{|y_{12}|}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{(\alpha_n/n) + y}\right) \\ (3.18) \quad &= P\left(\frac{y_{12}}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{(\alpha_n/n) + y}\right) + P\left(\frac{-y_{12}}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{(\alpha_n/n) + y}\right) \\ &\sim \frac{e^{-y/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} n^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

provided $E|\xi|^q < \infty$ for some $q > c^2 + 2 = 6$. Thus

$$(3.19) \quad \lambda_n \rightarrow \frac{e^{-y/2}}{\gamma^2 \sqrt{8\pi}}.$$

Obviously, X_α is independent of $\{X_\beta; \beta \in I \setminus B_\alpha\}$ for any $\alpha = (i, j) \in I$. To complete (3.16), by Lemma A.4, we have to verify that $b_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $b_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to check that the size of B_α is less than $2p$. Thus

$$b_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}(p^2 - p) \cdot (2p) \cdot P\left(\frac{|y_{12}|}{\sqrt{n}} > \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_n}{n} + y}\right)^2 = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

by (3.18). Also, by symmetry,

$$(3.20) \quad b_2 \leq p(p^2 - p)P(|y_{12}| > \sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}, |y_{13}| > \sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}).$$

Here $\sqrt{\alpha_n + ny}/\sqrt{n \log n} \rightarrow 2$. By Lemma 2.1, the above probability is $O(n^{b-4})$ for any $b > 0$, provided $E|\xi|^q < \infty$ for some $q > (2^2 + 1)(2^2 + 2) = 30$. Now choose $b < 1$, then $b_2 \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma A.4, (3.16) is concluded. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3. Define

$$\tilde{\eta}_i = \eta_i I(|\eta_i| \leq n^\rho) - E\eta_i I(|\eta_i| \leq n^\rho)$$

for $\rho > 0$. It is easy to see that

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \geq t\right) \leq P\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\eta}_i\right) + r_n \geq t\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n P(|\eta_i| \geq n^\rho),$$

where $r_n = (1/\sqrt{n}) \sum_{i=1}^n E\eta_i I(|\eta_i| > n^\rho)$ because $E\eta_i = 0$. Clearly, by Markov's inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(|\eta_i| \geq n^\rho) \leq \frac{M_\beta}{n^{\rho\beta-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad |r_n| \leq \frac{M_\beta}{n^{\rho(\beta-1)-(1/2)}}.$$

Thus, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that

$$(3.21) \quad P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\eta}_i \geq t_\rho\right) \leq K_n e^{-t_\rho^2/(2M_2)}.$$

By the Chebyshev inequality and independence, we obtain that

$$(3.22) \quad P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\eta}_i \geq t_\rho\right) \leq e^{-\theta t_\rho} \prod_{i=1}^n E e^{\theta \tilde{\eta}_i / \sqrt{n}}$$

for any $\theta > 0$. Since $e^x \leq 1 + x + (x^2/2) + (|x|^3/6)e^{|x|}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(3.23) \quad E e^{\theta \tilde{\eta}_i / \sqrt{n}} \leq 1 + \frac{\theta^2}{2n} E \tilde{\eta}_i^2 + \frac{\theta^3}{6n^{3/2}} E(|\tilde{\eta}_i|^3 \exp(\theta |\tilde{\eta}_i| / \sqrt{n})).$$

Obviously, $E \tilde{\eta}_i^2 \leq E \eta_i^2$ and $|\tilde{\eta}_i| \leq 2n^\rho$. It follows that

$$E(|\tilde{\eta}_i|^3 \exp(\theta |\tilde{\eta}_i| / \sqrt{n})) \leq 2n^\rho e^{2\theta n^{\rho-(1/2)}} E|\eta_i|^2.$$

Since $1 + x \leq e^x$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, by (3.23), we have that

$$E e^{\theta \tilde{\eta}_i / \sqrt{n}} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{\theta^2}{2n} E(\eta_i^2) + \frac{\theta^3 E|\eta_i|^2}{3n^{(3/2)-\rho}} e^{2\theta n^{\rho-(1/2)}}\right\}.$$

Substituting this back to (3.22), we obtain

$$P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\eta}_i \geq t_\rho\right) \leq \exp\left(-\theta t_\rho + \frac{M_2}{2} \theta^2 + \frac{\theta^3 M_2}{3n^{(1/2)-\rho}} e^{2\theta n^{\rho-(1/2)}}\right)$$

for any $\theta > 0$. Choosing $\theta = t_\rho/M_2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\eta}_i \geq t_\rho\right) &\leq e^{-t_\rho^2/(2M_2)} \exp\left\{\frac{t_\rho^3 n^{\rho-(1/2)}}{3M_2^2} e^{2t_\rho n^{\rho-(1/2)}/M_2}\right\} \\ &\leq K_n e^{-t_\rho^2/(2M_2)} \end{aligned}$$

since $t_\rho < t$ and $t > 0$, where K_n is as in the statement of Theorem 1.3. Then (3.21) follows. The proof is complete. \square

APPENDIX

For the proofs of the main theorems we quote some results from literature in this section.

The following is a corollary of the Marcinkiewicz–Zygmund inequality, see, for example, Corollary 2 on page 368 for $p \geq 2$ and Theorem 2 on page 367 for $p \in [1, 2)$ from [7].

LEMMA A.1. *If $\{\eta_n, n \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d. random variables with $E\eta_1 = 0$, $E|\eta_1|^p < \infty$, $p \geq 1$, and $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$. Then,*

$$E|S_n|^p = \begin{cases} O(n^{p/2}), & \text{if } p \geq 2, \\ O(n), & \text{if } 1 \leq p < 2. \end{cases}$$

The following is Lemma 2.2 from [17], which is a useful version of the maximal inequality of Hoffmann–Jørgensen, see [9] or Proposition 6.7 from [16].

LEMMA A.2. *Let $\{\eta_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ be independent symmetric random variables and $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k$. Then, for each integer $j \geq 1$, there exist positive numbers C_j and D_j depending only on j such that for all $t > 0$,*

$$P(|S_n| \geq 2jt) \leq C_j P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\eta_k| \geq t\right) + D_j (P(|S_n| \geq t))^j.$$

The following lemma is from [1]. It is a refinement of Theorem 2 from [21]. See also page 254 from [20].

LEMMA A.3. *Let $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with $E\eta_i = 0$ and $E\eta_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 > 0$ for all i . Define $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$ and $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$. Suppose*

$$\liminf_n \left\{ \frac{B_n}{n} \right\} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|\eta_i|^q \right\} < \infty$$

for some $q > 2$. Let $\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-t^2/2} dt$. Then

$$\frac{P(S_n/\sqrt{B_n} > x)}{1 - \Phi(x)} \rightarrow 1$$

uniformly on $[0, c\sqrt{\log n}]$ for any $c \in (0, \sqrt{q-2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The following Poisson approximation result is essentially a special case of Theorem 1 in [3], which is again a special case of the general Chen–Stein Poisson approximation method. One application of the following lemma is studying behaviors of maxima of random variables. See, for example, [10] and [11].

LEMMA A.4. *Let I be an index set and $\{B_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ be a set of subsets of I , that is, $B_\alpha \subset I$ for each $\alpha \in I$. Let also $\{\eta_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ be random variables. For a given $t \in \mathbb{R}$, set $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in I} P(\eta_\alpha > t)$. Then*

$$\left| P\left(\max_{\alpha \in I} \eta_\alpha \leq t\right) - e^{-\lambda} \right| \leq (1 \wedge \lambda^{-1})(b_1 + b_2 + b_3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\beta \in B_\alpha} P(\eta_\alpha > t) P(\eta_\beta > t), \\ b_2 &= \sum_{\alpha \in I} \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \in B_\alpha} P(\eta_\alpha > t, \eta_\beta > t), \\ b_3 &= \sum_{\alpha \in I} E|P(\eta_\alpha > t | \sigma(\eta_\beta, \beta \notin B_\alpha)) - P(\eta_\alpha > t)|, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma(\eta_\beta, \beta \notin B_\alpha)$ is the σ -algebra generated by $\{\eta_\beta, \beta \notin B_\alpha\}$. In particular, if η_α is independent of $\{\eta_\beta, \beta \notin B_\alpha\}$ for each α , then $b_3 = 0$.

The following is Lemma 2 from [4].

LEMMA A.5. *Let $\{v, v_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a double array of i.i.d. random variables and let $\alpha > 1/2$, $\beta > 0$ and $M > 0$ be constants. Then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq Mn^\beta} \left| n^{-\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (v_{ij} - Ev) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad a.s.,$$

if and only if $E|v|^{(\beta+1)/\alpha} < \infty$.

Acknowledgments. The author thanks Iain Johnstone very much for suggesting the study of the largest entry of the covariance matrix in the beginning of Section 3. The author also thanks an anonymous referee for reading the manuscript carefully and pointing out a relevant paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] AMOSOVA, N. N. (1972). On limit theorems for the probabilities of moderate deviations. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **13** 5–14. [MR331484](#)
- [2] ANDERSON, T. W. (1984). *An Introduction to Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York. [MR771294](#)
- [3] ARRATIA, R., GOLDSTEIN, L. and GORDON, L. (1989). Two moments suffice for Poisson approximation: The Chen–Stein method. *Ann. Probab.* **17** 9–25. [MR972770](#)
- [4] BAI, Z. D. (1993). Limit of the smallest eigenvalue of a large dimensional sample covariance matrix. *Ann. Probab.* **21** 1275–1294. [MR1235416](#)
- [5] BAI, Z. D. (1999). Methodologies in spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices, a review. *Statist. Sinica* **9** 611–677. [MR1711663](#)

- [6] BARBOUR, A. and EAGLESON, G., (1984). Poisson convergence for dissociated statistics. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* **46** 397–402. [MR790624](#)
- [7] CHOW, Y. S. and TEICHER, H. (1988). *Probability Theory, Independence, Interchangeability, Martingales*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York. [MR953964](#)
- [8] DEMBO, A. and ZEITOUNI, O. (1998). *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd ed. Springer, New York. [MR1619036](#)
- [9] HOFFMAN-JØRGENSEN, J. (1974). Sums of independent Banach space valued random variables. *Studia Math.* **52** 159–186. [MR356155](#)
- [10] JIANG, T. (2002). Maxima of partial sums indexed by geometrical structures. *Ann. Probab.* **30** 1854–1892. [MR1944008](#)
- [11] JIANG, T. (2002). A comparison of scores of two protein structures with foldings. *Ann. Probab.* **30** 1893–1912. [MR1944009](#)
- [12] JIANG, T. (2002). Maxima of entries of Haar distributed matrices. Preprint. [MR1944008](#)
- [13] JIANG, T. (2002). The limiting distributions of eigenvalues of sample correlation matrices. Preprint.
- [14] JOHNSTONE, I. (2001). On the distribution of the largest eigenvalue in principal components analysis. *Ann. Statist.* **29** 295–327. [MR1863961](#)
- [15] LEDOUX, M. (1992). On moderate deviations of sums of i.i.d. vector random variables. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* **28** 267–280. [MR1162575](#)
- [16] LEDOUX, M. and TALAGRAND, M. (1992). *Isoperimetry and Processes in the Theory of Probability in a Banach Space*. Springer, New York. [MR1102015](#)
- [17] LI, D., RAO, M., JIANG, T. and WANG, X. (1995). Complete convergence and almost sure convergence of weighted sums of random variables. *J. Theoret. Probab.* **8** 754–789. [MR1308670](#)
- [18] MHETA, M. L. (1991). *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed. Academic Press, Boston. [MR1083764](#)
- [19] NAGAEV, S. V. (1979). Large deviations of sums of independent random variables. *Ann. Probab.* **7** 745–789. [MR542129](#)
- [20] PETROV, V. V. (1975). *Sums of Independent Random Variables*. Springer, New York. [MR388499](#)
- [21] RUBIN, H. and SETHURAMAN, J. (1965). Probabilities of moderate deviations. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 325–346. [MR203783](#)

SCHOOL OF STATISTICS
 UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
 313 FORD HALL
 224 CHURCH STREET S.E.
 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455
 USA
 E-MAIL: tjiang@stat.umn.edu